

# Comparison of Model Merging Methods

Method	What It Is	Pros	Cons
Task Vector Algorithms	Merging by adjusting weight differences for task-specific improvements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Enhances task-specific capabilities.</li><li>• Supports merging several models</li><li>• Offers flexibility with various merging strategies.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Dependent on a suitable base model.</li><li>• Increased complexity with more models.</li><li>• Risk of overfitting to specific tasks.</li></ul>
SLERP	An interpolation technique for smoothly transitioning between two models.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Preserves distinct features of each model.</li><li>• Single hyperparameter to tune.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Restricted to two models.</li><li>• May not fully capture the advantages of each.</li></ul>
Frankenmerging	Stacking different layers from multiple models sequentially to create a new model.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Enables integration of models with varied architectures.</li><li>• Highly customizable.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Demands significant experimentation.</li><li>• May face layer compatibility challenges.</li></ul>
Mixture of Experts (MoE)	Dynamically combines expert models through per-layer, per-token routing for precise, task-adaptive activation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Precision in activating models for specific tasks.</li><li>• Adapts dynamically to the complexity of input.</li><li>• Efficiently utilizes model capacity by activating relevant experts.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Complexity in configuring and managing routing.</li><li>• Potential overhead from dynamic routing decisions.</li><li>• Requires careful balancing to avoid overloading specific experts.</li></ul>